IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 31 OF 2006

R.J. Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Mah. and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. A.G. Damle for Petitioner.

Mr. A.A. Sayed, A.G.P. for R. Nos. 1 and 2.

Mrs. P. Purandhare for R. No. 4.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
A.V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 07, 2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. The learned A.G.P. waives notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Appearance put up on behalf of Respondent No. 4. Respondent No. 3 though served, no appearance has been put up.

. Even otherwise, fore the order to be passed, presence of Respondent No. 3 dispensed with.

. The plot belonging to the Petitioner was earlier reeved for telephone exchange. M.T.N.L. have given up their claim and consequently the reservation has lapsed. Inspite of that, it is the grievance of the Petitioner that no steps have been taken by the State Government for deletion of the reservation and accordingly the present petition.

. Considering the fact that the authority for whom the plot was reserved have been given up the proposal for acquisition, the respondent State is directed to carry out amendment to development plan within the period of four weeks from today. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to cots.

(F.I. REBELLO,J.)

(A.V. MOHTA,J.)